1 2 3 4 5 6	GEORGE S. L. DUNLOP, ESQ. State Bar No. 47,713 2253 Martin Street Suite 311 Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949) 757-1148 Attorney for Plaintiff Mark Baker  Case assigned to Judge J. CHirli					
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
10						
11	MARK BAKER,	BC207488 Case No.				
12	Plaintiff, )	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 1. Defamation				
14	Vs. )	<ol> <li>Interference with Business Relationship</li> </ol>				
15	AUTOMOBILE CLUB OF SOUTHERN CALIF- ) ORNIA, a corporation, INTER INSUR- ) ANCE EXCHANGE OF THE AUTOMOBILE )	<ol> <li>Interference with         Economic Relationship     </li> <li>Negligent Hiring</li> </ol>				
16	CLUB OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, a ) corporation, LARRY BAKER, an indiv-)	<ul><li>5. Racketeering</li><li>6. Misrepresentation</li></ul>				
17 18	doing business as SCOTT SHAW INVES-) 8. Respondeat Superieur. TIGATIONS, SHAW INVESTIGATION, )					
19	INC., a corporation, and JOHN DOES ) ONE through TWENTY, inclusive, )	Damages Sought: Compensatory: as proven				
20	Defendants )	Exemplary:\$20,000,000.				
21	Comes now the Plaintiff, MARK BAKER,	and as and for his Complaint				
22	alleges as follows:					
23	I.					
24		ACTION SE				
25	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION  REG 757 5EE  (Defamation)  1. Plaintiff, Mark Baker (hereinafter "MARK BAKER" or					
26	1. Plaintiff, Mark Baker	2000AF				
27	"Plaintiff") is and at all times a					
28	"Plaintiff"), is, and at all times relevant herein was, of 15000 170000 17000					

resident of the City of Long Beach, County of Los Angeles, State of California.

- 2. Defendant Automobile Club of Southern California, (hereinafter "AUTOMOBILE CLUB") is, and at all times was, a corporation organized within the State of California doing business at all times relevant in the City of Los Angeles and within the jurisdiction of this Court and of this Central Branch of this Court.
- 3. Defendant Inter Insurance Exchange of the Automobile Club of Southern California (hereinafter "INTER INSURANCE") is, and at all times was, a corporation organized within the State of California doing business at all times relevant in the City of Los Angeles and within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 4. Defendant Larry Baker (hereinafter "LARRY BAKER") is an individual who at all times relevant was employed and resided in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. At all times relevant said Defendant LARRY BAKER was a controlling person and director and officer of Defendants INTER INSURANCE and the AUTOMOBILE CLUB.
- 5. Defendant Scott Shaw is an individual who at all times relevant was employed and resided in the County of Los Angeles, State of California under the style and custom of SHAW INVESTIGATIONS, INC. within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. Defendant SHAW INVESTIGATION, INC. (hereinafter "SHAW INVESTIGATIONS") is, and at all times was, a corporation organized within the state of California doing business at all times relevant in the City of Los Angeles and within the jurisdiction of this Court. Defendants Scott Shaw and Shaw Investigations, Inc. will be

1.2

collectively referred to hereinafter as "SHAW".

- 7. All the incidents described herein occurred within the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned each of the defendants was the agent and employee of each of the remaining defendants and, in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the course and scope of such agency and employment with the knowledge, consent and ratification of the Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER.
- 9. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOES ONE through TWENTY, inclusive, and therefore sue such Defendants by said fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this pleading to allege their true names and capacities when the same are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that said DOE Defendants are in some manner intentionally or negligently responsible for the wrongs and injuries hereinafter alleged and that Plaintiffs' injuries were proximately caused by said acts.
- 10. The named Defendant in doing the things complained of herein at all times acted with the other Defendants in conspiracy and with the knowledge and consent and at the instructions of each of the other Defendants and all acts occurred in the scope and in furtherance of such conspiracy willfully, knowingly and purposefully with the specific intent to injure the Plaintiff as is more particularly described hereinafter.
- 11. Defendant was terminated from over 12 years employment as an agent and employee of Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

  3

INSURANCE in November, 1997.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12. On or about April, 1998 Defendants LARRY BAKER, SHAW, AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE conspired together and agreed and determined upon a plan and scheme to discredit the reputation of and injure the Plaintiff and his business of selling automobile and other insurance and to retaliate against the Plaintiff for what they deemed to be his bad moral character and to eliminate the Plaintiff as a competitor to their business of selling automobile insurance by publishing false and malicious and unprivileged allegations throughout the insurance business community in Los Angeles that Plaintiff was corrupt and dishonest and that he could not be trusted.

In the course of and in furtherance of this scheme and plan, Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INSURANCE EXCHANGE and LARRY retained Defendants Scott BAKER hired Shaw and and INVESTIGATIONS and, together with said Defendants, falsely and maliciously, on or about May 2 1998 caused, ordered and instructed and caused SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS to orally relate and publish to Plaintiff's then employer, Farmers' Insurance Company and Plaintiff's associates and colleagues at Farmer's Insurance and elsewhere, false and baseless and malicious oral statements that Plaintiff was going to be going to prison for fraud arising from his abuse of his former position as an employee of the AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and that Plaintiff had been involved in a fraudulent claim racket within the AUTOMOBILE CLUB involving 160 vēhicles.

14. These statements, described above, charging Plaintiff with fraud and theft were false and defamatory and slanderous per

se in that they alleged statements that were defamatory of Plaintiff.

15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that, from April, 1998 through the present the named Defendants SHAW acting in the course and conduct of a conspiracy and employment with Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER and others, wrongfully and willfully and with a malicious intent to injure Plaintiff and his personal and business reputation, have orally communicated without any privilege or necessity to do so, to colleagues at Farmer's Insurance and elsewhere throughout the area of Los Angeles, California where Plaintiff has been employed and where he earns his living by marketing automobile and other insurance to the public, false and defamatory statements and injurious and defamatory allegations and innuendo that, inter alia, Plaintiff was dishonest; that he was going to be arrested and imprisoned for fraud and car theft and false automobile claims; that he had committed fraud in conspiracy with others upon the AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE; and that he was of bad moral character.

16. These false defamatory statements were first made by the Defendants SHAW acting as the agents and coconspirators of the other named Defendants as stated above to officers and employees of Farmer's Insurance where Plaintiff had found employment after being terminated form the Defendants' employment on May 2, 1998.

17. Prior to such defamatory statements being published, Plaintiff had established a reputation in the community as an honest, honorable, decent, sound, and competent person. The above described defamatory statements were false and baseless and were

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

know at the time made to be so by the Defendants and were intended to and did exposed and continue to expose the Plaintiff to personal humiliation and disgrace and are defamatory per se in that they accuse him of illegal acts and felonies such as fraud and larceny.

- 18. In no manner were any of the above statements true or privileged and at all times relevant they were known to defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER to be false and baseless.
- 19. The above described statements were seen and heard by the management and other persons at Plaintiff's then employer Farmers Insurance and by persons throughout Los Angeles and elsewhere, which was reasonably foreseeable to the Defendants.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, that the above defamatory statements have been stated by the defendants and republished by others and will be heard and republished by persons throughout the said insurance industry in Southern California all to the injury of Plaintiff's personal and business reputation.
- 21. On or about May 7, 1998, after hearing of the Defendants making said statements, Plaintiff orally asked Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS and Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER (by leaving messages) to cease and desist making and thereafter to withdraw and take back said defamatory statements. Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS refused to do so and Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER declined to speak to Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendants LARRY BAKER, AUTOMOBILE and INTER INSURANCE affirmed and ratified the acts of SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS set forth above and refused to retract and correct the same.

- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the named Defendants continued after May 7, 1998 to make said statements and at no time withdrew, retracted or otherwise refuted said defamatory statements.
- 24. In December, 1998 as a direct and proximate and foreseeable result of said defamatory statements Plaintiff was terminated from his employment as an agent of Farmers Insurance.
- 25. The above defamatory statements held the Plaintiff up to professional and personal contempt and derision and mistrust and, as a direct and proximate result thereof, the Plaintiff's reputation and professional standing and employment prospects have been injured in an amount which cannot be presently ascertained but is in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 26. Defendants knew or should have known that said statements would be republished throughout the insurance industry and amongst clients and potential clients of Plaintiff and intended that the same occur, as it did.
- 27. These defamatory statements were intended by the Defendants, and each of them, to injure and have injured the reputation and credibility and employability of Plaintiff and were intended to damages and ruin his business opportunities.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment and business opportunities and great mental anguish, from then until now, and will continue to do so in the future by reason of having been held up to public scorn and derision and to humiliation by the publication of the foregoing defamatory statements of the Defendants all to the

1.0

general damages of the Plaintiff in the amount in excess of \$500,000.00).

29. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of , Plaintiff was injured in his health, strength, and activity, sustaining shock and injury to his nervous system and person, and among others, sustained injuries including but not limited to shortness of breath, sleeplessness, nausea, near fainting, depression, flushing, spotting, all of which injuries have caused plaintiff to suffer extreme and severe physical pain and mental anguish all to her general damage in an amount which cannot as yet be determined but is in excess of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00).

30. The acts alleged herein constitute reprehensible and despicable conduct carried on by the named Defendants with a willful and deliberate conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and with an intent to injure him and his business and employment prospects. In doing the acts complained of hereinabove the Defendants, and each of them, acted with malice and ill will and with callous and reckless disregard of the Plaintiff and with oppression, fraud and malice towards the Plaintiff who is, therefore, each entitled to punitive or exemplary damages against the said Defendants in the amount of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000.00) a sum which is reasonably necessary to deter Defendants in the future from such conduct.

SECOND CA

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

II.

(Interference with Business Relationships)

Plaintiff, as and for his Second Cause of Action, allege as

follows:

- 31. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges, as if set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1 through 29 above, inclusive.
- 32. Plaintiff had established, while an employee of Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE from 1987 to 1998, a large number of clients and customers and had been a highly successful insurance agent.
- 33. Plaintiff is informed and believes and infers that after he left employment of Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and the employ of Defendant LARRY BAKER, said defendants and other decided that Plaintiff's clients who were customers were likely to terminate their automobile insurance policies with said Defendants and follow Plaintiff and purchase new policies from Plaintiffs new employers.
- 34. Plaintiff believes and hereby alleges that in order to prevent the loss of said business and the gain to Plaintiff of said business going to his new employer, said named defendants agreed and conspired to ruin Plaintiff's reputation in the insurance business community and thus effectively prevent the loss of said insurance customers by preventing Plaintiff from being hired or associating with any other insurance company.
- 35. Pursuant to and in furtherance of said agreement and plan and scheme to ruin the Plaintiff's reputation and destroy his business opportunities, Defendants retained and hired Defendants SHAW to seek out and find Plaintiff's then new employer and, under the false and deceitful guise of claiming to be investigating the Plaintiff, make the false and malicious statements described above

to Plaintiff's new employer and to his colleagues at said employer in order to discredit Plaintiff and thus injure his business prospects.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- At no time were the defendants acting out of any lawful 36. or appropriate authority or necessity. Their communications with Plaintiff's new employers were not privileged nor made in the course and scope of any legitimate investigation nor intended to investigate Plaintiff but were knowingly falsely made solely with the intent and purpose of ruining and injuring Plaintiff's existing and future employment opportunities and to prevent his former clients from cancelling their policies and taking new policies through him at his new employers. In this Defendants were effective.
- There was no lawful, appropriate, proper or privileged purpose for said communications.
- As a direct and proximate and foreseeable and intended result of said defamatory communications, Plaintiff who was formerly held in high regard by his new employer became the subject of suspicion and doubt and after being unable to get Defendants to withdraw said reckless and defamatory false statements was, as a direct, intended and proximate result of said statements, terminated from his position with Farmer's Insurance in November, 1998 as was intended and planned by Defendants.
- Once terminated as described above Plaintiff was unable to write insurance coverage for his clients and was thus further injured and made unable to make a living.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

continues to suffer loss of employment and business opportunities and great mental anguish, from then until now, and will continue to do so in the future all to the general damages of the Plaintiff in the amount in excess of \$500,000.00).

41. The acts alleged herein constitute reprehensible and despicable conduct carried on by the named Defendants with a willful and deliberate conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and with an intent to injure him and his business and employment prospects. In doing the acts complained of hereinabove the Defendants, and each of them, acted with malice and ill will and with callous and reckless disregard of the Plaintiff and with oppression, fraud and malice towards the Plaintiff who is, therefore, each entitled to punitive or exemplary damages against the said Defendants in the amount of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000.00) a sum which is reasonably necessary to deter Defendants from said conduct.

III.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Interference with Economic Relationships)

Plaintiff, as and for his Third Cause of Action, allege as follows:

- 44. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges, as if set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1 through 29 and 32 through 42 above, inclusive.
- 45. In doing the acts alleged herein and as a direct and proximate and intended result of said acts Defendants LARRY BAKER, AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and SHAW deliberately and intentionally caused Plaintiff's reputation as an honest and

credible insurance agent to be ruined and his business to suffer and to cause Farmer's Insurance to cancel and terminate contracts he had written with clients and to cause potential clients to remain insured by them and not to take their business to Plaintiff and further to cause Plaintiff to lose future contracts with potential clients by ruining his reputation and causing him to be terminated as an agent by Farmer's Insurance.

46. The acts alleged herein constitute reprehensible and despicable conduct carried on by the named Defendants with a willful and deliberate conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and with an intent to injure him and his business and employment prospects. In doing the acts complained of hereinabove the Defendants, and each of them, acted with malice and ill will and with callous and reckless disregard of the Plaintiff and with oppression, fraud and malice towards the Plaintiff who is, therefore, each entitled to punitive or exemplary damages against the said Defendants in the amount of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000.00) a sum which is reasonably necessary to deter Defendants in the future from such conduct.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

IV.

## (Negligent Hiring)

Plaintiff, as and for his Fourth Cause of Action, allege as follows:

- 47. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges, as if set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1 through 29 and 32 through 45 above, inclusive.
- 48. On or about April or May, 1998 Defendants AUTOMOBILE COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

CLUB, INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER agreed to hire, and did hire, Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS to serve them as an independent contractor for the purpose of trying to establish a seeming case of fraud and corruption against the Plaintiff Pursuant to the said agreement, Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS undertook to perform the work.

- 49. Had Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE adequately investigated the history, reputation, methods and activities of the said investigators SHAW they hired in a proper and appropriate manner, it would have been apparent and was to any reasonable person apparent that Defendants SHAW were neither sufficiently experienced nor sufficiently appropriately trained and disciplined nor sufficiently discrete and professional in the conduct of investigations to be entrusted with investigation of the nature described hereinabove.
- 50. On or about May 2, 1998 Defendants SHAW in fulfillment and execution of the above mentioned contract and in the course and within the scope of their employment by the other named Defendants, went to the offices of Farmer's Insurance in Los Angeles where he had learned Plaintiff had found employment and pursuant to and within the scope of said employment and thereafter with the knowledge and consent and thereafter the ratification of the Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB. LARRY BAKER and INTER INSURANCE negligently, recklessly and without cause or privilege slandered and defamed the Plaintiff as described above.
- 51. Given the lack of experience, professional judgment, and appropriate training in this type of investigation of Defendants SHAW, it was reasonably foreseeable to the other Defendants that

SHAW was reckless and foolish and would do the acts alleged herein and that to hire and retain and employ SHAW for the investigation of Plaintiff was negligent and reckless and without regard of or for the rights of Plaintiff.

- 52. The failure of Defendants LARRY BAKER, AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE to adequately investigate Defendants SHAW and to cause SHAW to act reasonably professionally was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's injury, as said investigation of Plaintiff as was contemplated are known, or in the exercise of reasonable care would be known, to be particularly dangerous to the reputation and business of the subject of said investigation unless conducted in a responsible and professional manner.
- 53. Had Defendants LARRY BAKER, AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE adequately investigated SHAW in a proper and appropriate manner, it would have been apparent and was to any reasonable person given the situation, that Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS were neither sufficiently experienced nor sufficiently appropriate or discrete and professional in the conduct of investigations to be entrusted with investigation of the nature described hereinabove.
- 54. Had Defendants adequately and appropriately with due care chosen and retained professional and responsible investigators the incidents that occurred as described herein above would not have occurred and Plaintiff would not have been injured.
- 55. Despite this advance knowledge or in the absence of due care, Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER allowed Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS to investigate Plaintiff in conscious disregard of the rights and safety of the

Plaintiff and his reputation and business and employment opportunities including keeping his employment with Farmer's Insurance.

- 56. As a direct and proximate result of this conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff, Plaintiff was injured as described herein above.
- 57. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of the acts of defendants, plaintiff suffered loss of his employment with Farmer's Insurance; loss of business clients and customers; loss of future business and clients and emotional and all the other injuries described above.
- 58. In employing Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATION to do the work of investigation described above, Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER recognized or should have recognized that the work would be likely to create, during its progress, a peculiar unreasonable risk of injury and harm to Plaintiff and his reputation and business (prospective and existing) and his employment unless special precautions were taken, in that in the course and conduct of said investigation unless great and particular care was taken the reputation of Plaintiff and thus his business and employment prospects would be injured.
- 59. Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER failed to provide in the contract by which they employed SHAW that Defendants SHAW must take special precautions nor exercised reasonable care to provide in some other manner for the taking of the said reasonable precautions in order to avoid the peculiar unreasonable risk of irreparable harm to Plaintiff likely to be created during the progress of the work as occurred as described

28 l

above.

- 60. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER in entering into the hire of Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS negligently and recklessly failed to adequately research and investigate the history, reputation, and record and experience of SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS and to recognize and to protect against the reckless and injurious methods of investigation used by SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS and were reckless and negligent in hiring said Defendants for this purpose.
- 61. As a direct and proximate result of said negligence and recklessness in hiring and supervision of SHAW, Plaintiff was injured as described above.
- despicable conduct carried on by the named Defendants with a willful and deliberate conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and with an intent to injure him and his business and employment prospects. In doing the acts complained of hereinabove the Defendants, and each of them, acted with malice and ill will and with callous and reckless disregard of the Plaintiff and his reputation and employment prospects and with oppression, fraud and malice towards the Plaintiff who is, therefore, each entitled to punitive or exemplary damages against the said Defendants in the amount of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000.00) a sum which is reasonably necessary to deter Defendants in the future from such conduct.

v.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Racketeering and Corrupt Practices

Conspiracy to Restrict Trade and Commerce)

Plaintiff, as and for his Fifth Cause of Action, allege as follows:

- 63. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges, as if set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1 through 29, and 32 through 42 above, inclusive.
- 64. In doing the acts alleged herein, Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INTER INSURANCE, LARRY BAKER, SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS and others conspired together and engaged in a pattern and practice of racketeering activity as that is defined in law with the unlawful purpose of preventing the Plaintiff from engaging in business with customers and clients of said Defendant AUTO MOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE who were or who might be loyal to Plaintiff and who might seek his agency for insurance services.
- Defendants have in the past conducted a similar pattern and practice of unlawful and illegal activities to discredit and destroy the business and personal reputations of other persons employed as agents who had terminated their employment relationship with Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE in order to restrict trade in the business of automobile insurance and to acquire and maintain an interest in the accounts of person similarly situated to the Plaintiff and to restrict the free flow of trade and business through a pattern of such racketeering activity.
- 66. As a direct and intended and proximate result of the acts described herein Plaintiff's business was lost and was maintained,

obtained and retained by the said Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB, INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The acts alleged herein constitute reprehensible and despicable conduct carried on by the named Defendants with a willful and deliberate conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and with an intent to injure him and his business and employment prospects. In doing the acts complained of hereinabove the Defendants, and each of them, acted with malice and ill will and with callous and reckless disregard of the Plaintiff and his reputation and employment prospects and with oppression, fraud and malice towards the Plaintiff who is, therefore, each entitled to punitive or exemplary damages against the said Defendants in the amount of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000.00) a sum which is reasonably necessary to deter Defendants in the future from such conduct.

VI.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Misrepresentation to Prospective Employer Labor Code §§1050,

1054; Code of Civil Procedure §425.10 and Civil Code §3333)

Plaintiff, as and for his Sixth Cause of Action, allege as follows:

- Plaintiff reasserts and realleges, as if set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1 through 29 and 32 through 42 above, inclusive.
- On or about 1990, Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE hired Plaintiff, and Plaintiff entered into employment, as said Defendants employee.
- 70. On or about February, 1998 defendant AUTOMOBILE CLUB and COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

INTER INSURANCE discharged Plaintiff from employment. There was no legal cause for said termination.

- 71. On or about April or May, 1998, Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE and LARRY BAKER through the instrumentality of SHAW falsely informed Farmer's Insurance Company, to whom Plaintiff had applied and for whom he had just become employed, that Plaintiff was a criminal, that Plaintiff was going to be soon in prison and that Plaintiff had committed fraudulent insurance practices including being part of a fraudulent theft claim ring.
- 72. As a proximate result of said defendant's intentional misrepresentation(s), Plaintiff was prevented from maintaining employment with Farmer's Insurance Co., to Plaintiff's damage in a sum which presently cannot be calculated but which is in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 73. The above-described misrepresentation(s) was made by the defendant AUTOMOBILE CLUB by and through Defendant SHAW with malice and oppression and fraud in that said charges were utterly false and were known by said Defendants to be false and would, under the circumstances known to exist, cause Plaintiff to lose his employment then found. Defendants' conduct therefore warrants the assessment of punitive or exemplary damages.

VII.

## SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Supervision)

Plaintiff, as and for his Seventh Cause of Action, allege as follows:

74. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges, as if set forth in

full, each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1 through 29, 32 through 42, 48 through 61, 64 through 66, and 69 through 72, above, inclusive.

75. In doing the acts as heretofore alleged, Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE knew, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence should have known, that Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS were neither qualified nor sufficiently disciplined and professional to be abe to appropriately investigate the allegations made against Plaintiff and that an undue risk to the professional and personal reputation of Plaintiff would exist because of this lack of ability unless Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE adequately trained and supervised Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS in the exercise of the tasks of employment.

76. Notwithstanding the knowledge that Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS was neither qualified nor sufficiently professional to appropriately investigate Plaintiff without injuring his reputation in a safe manner Defendants did not adequately train or supervise said defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS in the performance of their assigned tasks.

77. The failure of Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE to adequately supervise Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's injury, as said investigations are known to be particularly dangerous to the reputation and business of subjects unless conducted in a responsible and professional manner.

78. Had Defendants AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE adequately investigated, chosen and supervised the activities of

the investigators they hired in a proper and appropriate manner, it would have been apparent and was to any reasonable person giving the situation due and appropriate care apparent that Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS were neither sufficiently experienced nor sufficiently appropriate or discrete and professional in the conduct of investigations to be entrusted with investigation of the nature described hereinabove.

- 79. Had Defendants he incidents that occurred as described herein above would not have occurred and Plaintiff would not have been injured.
- 80. In the course and conduct of said investigation, Defendants SHAW and SHAW INVESTIGATIONS negligently, recklessly and with complete disregard and or ignorance of all appropriate professional standards, stated that he was engaged in a bona fide investigation of Plaintiff and that Plaintiff had committed frauds and the other acts of slander and defamation alleged above and that negligence was the proximate cause of the injuries and damages described above. This was conduct typical of the methods of said Defendants.
- 81. Despite advance knowledge, Defendant AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE recklessly and negligently allowed Defendant SHAW, in conscious and willful disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff, to conduct said investigation of Plaintiff.
- 82. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment and business opportunities and great mental anguish, from then until now, and will continue to do so in the future all to the general damages of the Plaintiff in

the amount in excess of \$500,000.00).

83. The acts alleged herein constitute reprehensible and despicable conduct carried on by the named Defendants with a willful and deliberate conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and with an intent to injure him and his business and employment prospects. In doing the acts complained of hereinabove the Defendants, and each of them, acted with malice and ill will and with callous and reckless disregard of the Plaintiff and with oppression, fraud and malice towards the Plaintiff who is, therefore, each entitled to punitive or exemplary damages against the said Defendants in the amount of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000.00) a sum which is reasonably necessary to deter Defendants from said conduct.

VII.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Respondeat Superieur)

Plaintiff, as and for his Eighth Cause of Action, allege as follows:

- 84. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges, as if set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1 through 29, 32 through 42, 48 through 61, 64 through 66, 69 through 72, and 75 through 82 above, inclusive.
- 85. At all times herein in doing all the acts described above Defendants SHAW was acting at the express instructions and at the direction of Defendants LARRY BAKER, AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE who had a duty to control said investigation of Plaintiff and to prevent defamation of Plaintiff and injury to Plaintiff's reputation and business which duty may not be delegated to any

1 person or entity at any time pursuant to contract or otherwise.

86. Defendants LARRY BAKER, AUTOMOBILE CLUB and INTER INSURANCE are therefore liable for all the injuries suffered by Plaintiff as alleged above.

87. The acts alleged herein constitute reprehensible and despicable conduct carried on by the named Defendants with a willful and deliberate conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and with an intent to injure him and his business and employment prospects. In doing the acts complained of hereinabove the Defendants, and each of them, acted with malice and ill will and with callous and reckless disregard of the Plaintiff and with oppression, fraud and malice towards the Plaintiff who is, therefore, each entitled to punitive or exemplary damages against the said Defendants in the amount of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000.00) a sum which is reasonably necessary to deter Defendants from said conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Mark Baker prays judgment against the Defendants Automobile Club of Southern California, Inter Insurance Exchange of the Automobile Club of Southern California, Larry Baker, Scott Shaw and Shaw Investigations, Inc. and others, and each of them jointly and severally, as follows:

#### As and for his First Cause of Action:

- 1. Compensatory Damages according to proof;
- 2. As and for Exemplary or punitive damages the sum of \$20,000,000.00;
  - 3. Actual costs of suit herein; and,
- 4. Such other and further relief as this court deems proper.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

## 1 As and for his Second Cause of Action:

2

3

4

5

8

9

16

19

- Compensatory Damages according to proof;
- 2. As and for Exemplary or punitive damages the sum of \$20,000,000.00;
  - 3. Actual costs of suit herein; and,
- 4. Such other and further relief as this court deems7 proper.

## As and for his Third Cause of Action:

- 1. Compensatory Damages according to proof;
- 2. As and for Exemplary or punitive damages the sum of \$20,000,000.00;
- 12 3. Actual costs of suit herein; and,
- 4. Such other and further relief as this court deems proper.

## 15 As and for his Fourth Cause of Action:

- 1. Compensatory Damages according to proof;
- 2. As and for Exemplary or punitive damages the sum of \$20,000,000.00;
  - 3. Actual costs of suit herein; and,
- 4. Such other and further relief as this court deems proper.

## 22 As and for his Fifth Cause of Action:

- Compensatory Damages according to proof;
- 24 2. As and for statutory damages an amount three times the amount of compensatory damages found;
- 26 3. As and for Exemplary or punitive damages the sum of \$20,000,000.00;
- 28 4. Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys fees incurred in this

action; 1 Actual costs of suit herein; and, 5. 2 Such other and further relief as this court deems 6. 3 4 proper. As and for his Sixth Cause of Action: 5 Compensatory Damages according to proof; 6 That said compensatory damages be trebled as provided in 7 2. Labor Code Section 1054; 8 3. As an alternative to the treble damages prayed for herein, 9 for punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish defendant 10 and deter others from engaging in similar wrongful conduct; 11 For costs of suit herein incurred including actual 12 attorney's fees; 13 For such other and further relief as the court deems 14 15 proper. As and for his Seventh Cause of Action: 16 Compensatory Damages according to proof; 17 As and for Exemplary or punitive damages the sum of 18 \$20,000,000.00; 149 Actual costs of suit herein; and, 20 Such other and further relief as this court deems 21 proper. 22 As and for his Eighth Cause of Action: 23 Compensatory Damages according to proof; 24 1. As and for Exemplary or punitive damages the sum of 25 26 3. Actual costs of suit herein; and, 27 Such other and further relief as this court deems 28

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1	proper.			
2	March	22,	1999.	
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Control for the Control			
26	To o			
27				
28				

George S. L. Dunlop Attorney for Plaintiff Mark Baker

SUPERIOR	COURT OF CALIFO	RNIA, COUNTY OF LOS	ANGELES	
SHORT CASE TITLE		CASE NUMBER		
IARK BAKER V. AUTOMO	OBILE CLUB	CERTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT		
File this certificate with a	Il cases presented for fili	ng in all districts of the Los Angeles Superior Court.		
JURY TRIAL The undersigned declares that the above Los Angeles Superior Court under Section	NON-JURY TRIAL  entitled matter is filed for proceedings i on 392 et sed Code of Civil Procedure s	TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 14 DHOURS AND DAVE		
NAME: (INDICATE TITLE OR OTHER QU				
GEORGE S. L. DU	INLOP ESQ ZIP CODE:	2253 Martin IRVINE, CA	Street, Suite 31 92612	
	CHECK ONLY ONE	NATURE OF ACTION.		
NATURE OF ACTION	GROUND	NATURE OF ACTION	GROUND	
A7100 Vehicle Accident A7210 Med Malpractice A7200 Other Personal Inj. A7220 Product Liability A6030 Other Malpractice A6012 Collection/Note A6040 Injunct. Relief A6030 Declar. Relief A6170 Late Claim Relief A6000 Other Complaint	Local Rule 2 sets forth the provisions for mandatory filings in the Central District and optional filings in the Central District or District other than the Central District in "Los Angeles County."  If this is a Class Action, mark this box:	No. of Minors Involved:  A5520 Regular Dissolution  A5525 Summary Dissolution  A5530 Nullity  A5530 Nullity  A5510 Legal Separation  A6135 Foreign Support  A6136 Foreign Custody  A6122 Domestic Violence  A6130 Family Law Complaint-Other	One or more of the party litigants resides within the district.** (Not a requirement for filing in Central District— Rule 2)	
Specify): DEFAMATION  A6011 Contract/Commercial  A7300 Eminent Domain/	Performance in the district is expressly provided for.**	No. of Minors Involved: A6080 Paternity  A6131 DA Paternity (DA use only)  A6133 DA Agreement (DA use only)  A6600 Habeas Corpus Family Law	Child resides or deceased father's probate would be filed in the district.**	
Inverse Condemnation No. of Parcels  A6020 Landlord/Tenant (UD)  A6060 Real Property Rights  A6140 Admin Award	The property is located within the district.**  The administrative tribunal is located	A6101 Agency Adoption A6102 Independent Adoption A6104 Stepparent Adoption A6103 Adult Adoption A6106 Sole Custody Petition	Child is held within the district.**  Petitioner resides within the district.**  or  Consent to out-of-state adoption, consentor resides within the	
A6160 Abstract A6141 Sister State Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment	within the district.**  The judgment debtor holds property within the district.**	A6105 Abandonment  A6210 Probate Will-Letters Testamentary A6211 Probate Will-Letters Administration A6212 Letters of Administration	district.**  Decedent resided within the district.**	
A7221 Asbestos Pers. Inj. A6070 Asbestos Prop. Dam. A6137 RESL Initiating Petition A6138 RESL Responding Petition A6138 RESL Reg of Foreign Support A6131 Minor's Contract	Must be filed in the Central District.	A6213 Letters of Special Administration A6214 Set Aside Sm. Estate (6602 PC) A6215 Spousal Property A6216 Succession to Real Property A6217 Summary Probate (7660 PC) A6218 Real Prop/Sm. Value (13200 PC) A6230 Conservatorship P & E	Decedent resided out of the district but held property within the district.**  or Petitioner, conservatee or ward resides within this district.**	
A6110 Name Change A6121 Civil Harassment A6100 Other Petition	One or more of the party litigants resides within the district.**	□ A6231 Conservatorship Person     □ A6232 Conservatorship Estate     □ A6233 Medical Treatment without     Consent     □ A6240 Guardianship P & E	·	
A6151 Mandamus* A6152 Prohibition* A6150 Other Writ* pecify):	The defendant functions wholly within the district.**	□ A6241 Guardianship Person     □ A6242 Guardianship Estate     □ A6243 Spouse Lacks Capacity     □ A6254 Trust Proceedings     □ A6260 Comp. Minor's Claim     □ A6180 Patition to Establish Fact of		
4	at Thune	Birth, Death or Marriage.  A6200 Probate Other	orrect and this declaration wa	

lows optional filing in Central District.

THE COURT MAY IMPOSE SANCTIONS OR OTHER PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO FILE IN THE PROPER DISTRICT

# New Civil Case Filing Instructions

Effective July 1, 1995, all persons filing new civil actions with the Los Angeles County Superior Court will be required to comply with the following procedures.

Pursuant to Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 2 (d), this "Certificate of Assignment" must be completed and tiled with the Court along with the original Complaint or Petition in ALL cases filed in any district (including the Central District) of the Los Angeles County Superior Court.

# PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS COMPLETED ALONG WITH YOUR ORIGINAL CIVIL COMPLAINT OR PETITION:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. One copy of the caption or front page (or as many pages as necessary) of the Complaint or Petition to show the names of ALL the parties involved in the case.
- 3. This "Certificate of Assignment" form, completely filled out. \* (Superior Court Form Number 4, revised 4/97)
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet required by California Rule of Court 982.2(b)(1).
- 5. Payment in full of the filling fee or an Order of the Court waiving payment of filing fees.
- 6. Additional copies of documents presented for endorsement.
- \* With the exception of personal injury cases, and those types of actions required to be filed in the Central District by Local Court Rule 2, all civil actions may be optionally filed either in the Central District, or in whichever other district the rule would allow them to be filed. When a party elects to file an action in the Central District which would also be eligible for filing in one or more of the other districts, this form shall be submitted with location information completed on the reverse.